



Carbohydrate Research 303 (1997) 239-243

Note

Manipulation of free carbohydrates via stannylene acetals. Preparation of β -per-O-acyl derivatives of D-mannose, L-rhamnose, 6-O-trityl-D-talose, and D-lyxose

György Hodosi *, Pavol Kováč *

NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-0815, USA

Received 27 March 1997; accepted 22 May 1997

Abstract

A simple and high-yielding method for the preparation of 1-O- β -acyl derivatives of carbohydrates with an axial OH group at C-2 is described. It utilizes the property of unprotected carbohydrates to preferentially form 1,2-O-cis stannylene acetals, when treated with dibutyltin oxide. These acetals can be acylated with retention of configuration at the anomeric position. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Keywords: Stannylene complexes; 1,2-O-cis-stannylene acetals; β -mannose; β -rhamnose, β -talose; β -lyxose

Per-O-acetyl and per-O-benzoyl derivatives of sugars are important intermediates in carbohydrate syntheses [1], including their role as glycosyl donors in glycosylation reactions [2,3]. General methods for direct, high yielding preparation of 1,2-trans- β -acetates of sugars are available [4], but analogous 1,2-cis-derivatives such as β -acetates of D-mannose, L-rhamnose, D-talose and D-lyxose have been obtained in poor yields only, either as byproducts (e.g. [5]), or via multistep procedures (e.g. [6]).

Here, we are introducing the first rational strategy for the synthesis of the not readily accessible, title derivatives. It is based on the reaction of dibutyltin oxide with *unprotected* sugars to preferentially form 1,2-cis-acetals. While this assertion was originally

based on analogy with the formation of other cyclic acetals in carbohydrate chemistry, we have shown this to be the case by NMR spectroscopy: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (see Experimental; General procedure for acylations) of the stannylene acetals prepared from L-rhamnose showed presence of two compounds in a ratio of 5-6:1. The minor component was unchanged rhamnose (α anomer). The $J_{\text{C-1,H-1}}$ value found for the stannylene acetal (major component) confirmed its β -configuration and, consequently, that the acetal was of the 1,2-cis type. This is further supported by high yields of β -1-acetates obtained in this work, and β -manno and β -rhamno oligosaccharides [7] resulting from treatment of these species with carbohydrate trifluoromethanesulfonates. Clearly, glycosidic linkages would not have been formed in the latter reactions, were the anomeric center not part of the stannylene acetal. As we have found, 1,2-stannylene acetals can be acylated with

^{*} Corresponding authors.

retention of configuration at the anomeric center using common acylating reagents. To the best of our knowledge [8,9], the only precedent for the preparation of this class of substances, is the use of 1,2-O-stannylene acetals of unprotected sugars, such as 1 and 2, in our recent report [7] on a fundamentally new, stereospecific glycosylation.

In the initial experiments, the stannylene acetals used in this work were prepared in the usual way (see Experimental, preparation of 4(a), and acetylated with Ac₂O in pyridine. Chromatography of the products resulting from the foregoing treatment of D-mannose (3) and L-rhamnose (8) yielded, in addition to the expected β -1-acetates 4 (64.5%) and 9 (77.3%), also β -D-glucose pentaacetate (5, 25%) and 6-deoxy- β -L-glucose pentaacetate (10, 18%), respectively, resulting from epimerization [10] of the starting materials. Similarly, stannylation of D-mannose followed by benzoylation gave β -D-mannose pentabenzoate (6, 66.6%) and β -D-glucose pentabenzoate (7, 15.8%).

3 R= H; R¹,R²= OH, H; R³= OH; R⁴= H 4 R = Ac; R¹, R⁴= H; R², R³= OAc 5 R= Ac; R¹, R³= H; R², R⁴= OAc 6 R= Bz; R¹, R⁴= H; R², R³ = OBz 7 R= Bz; R¹, R³ = H; R², R⁴= OBz

$$\begin{array}{c}
Me \\
RO \\
RO
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
R' \\
R^4
\end{array}$$

8 R= H; R¹, R²= OH, H; R³= OH; R⁴=H 9 R= Ac; R¹, R⁴= H; R², R³= OAc 10 R= Ac; R¹, R³= H; R², R⁴ = OAc 11 R= Bz; R¹, R⁴= H; R², R³= OBz

To avoid epimerization, we conducted a series of experiments aimed at optimizing the reaction conditions. We found that this unwanted side-reaction could be minimized when the stannylene acetals were prepared under milder conditions. Thus, when work-

ing with a more dilute solution of the reagents, the reaction could be conducted at lower temperature, without having to extend the reaction time. Subsequent acylation of the acetals isolated in the usual manner resulted in an increased yield of the targeted β -per-O-acyl derivatives. At the same time, the epimerization that had previously accompanied the formation of the acetals was minimal. Under the optimized conditions (see Experimental; General procedure for acylations), the yields of 4, 9 and 6, and 11 were 84.8, 94.5, 72 and 89.6%, respectively. In a similar way, acetylation of the 1,2-cis stannylene acetal prepared from D-lyxose (12) yielded the corresponding β -peracetate 13 in 84.5% yield. The chemical shifts and coupling constants we observed for H-1 and H-5a,b of 13 (see Experimental) matched those found among the incomplete NMR data reported [11] for the substance. Since in the conformationally flexible [12] D-lyxose molecule the $J_{C-1,H-1}$ coupling constant is not diagnostic of the anomeric configuration, our assignment of the β -configuration for 13 is based on its high negative value of $[\alpha]_D$. It is worth mentioning that our preparation of 13 shows an $[\alpha]_D$ value much more negative than that found [11,13] for materials previously described.

12 R= H; R¹, R²= OH, H **14** R= H; R¹, R²= OH, H; R³= OTr **13** R= Ac; R¹= OAc; R²= H **15** R= Ac, R¹= OAc; R²= H; R³= OTr

Attempts to synthesize the β -acetate of D-talose directly from the unprotected sugar failed because of solubility problems. However, per-O-acetyl-6-O-trityl- β -D-talose could be obtained from 6-O-trityl-Dtalose (14) [10], via its stannylene acetal. Chromatography of the product of acetylation gave 6-O-trityl- β -D-talofuranose tetraacetate **16** (38.5%), 6-O-trityl- β -D-talopyranose tetraacetate 15 (40.1%), and 6-O-trityl- α -D-talofuranose tetraacetate 17 (12.8%). The presence of 17 among the product of the conversion suggests the likelihood of (reversible) isomerisation of 18 to 19, whose treatment with the acetylation reagent would be expected to give a mixture of 16 and 17. The putative isomerisation of a 1,2-acetal into a 2,3-acetal becomes quite plausible following another observation of ours. We have observed that the yield of the desired β -1-O-acyl derivatives decreased when the temperature of the exothermic acylation of the stannylene acetals was controlled by external cooling, lest the previously observed epimerization might become extensive. NMR spectra of products obtained in this way showed, in addition to the presence of the desired β -acetates, the presence of a relatively large proportion of α anomers. It appears that, in order to achieve a high yield of the 1,2-cis-acyl derivative, more important than to prevent the occurrence of epimerization, is to complete the acylation rapidly, whereupon neither isomerization of 18 to 19 nor epimerization can take place.

16 R= Ac; R¹= OAc; R²=H; R³= OTr 17 R= Ac; R¹= H; R²= OAc; R³ = OTr

Known compounds prepared independently during this work were identified by comparison of their physical characteristics with those previously reported, and the stereochemistry around the glycosidic linkage was corroborated by the observed $J_{C-1,H-1}$ coupling constants [14]. The determination of the β -configuration of substances which were hitherto unknown was based on the $J_{\text{C-1,H-1}}$ coupling constants found in their ¹³C NMR spectra (see Experimental). Furanose structures of 16 and 17 were evidenced mainly by the low-field ¹³C NMR chemical shift found for C-4. Since assigning the anomeric configuration to furanose structures is more reliable when based on the chemical shift for C-1 [15] than when based on $J_{C-1,H-1}$ coupling constants, the former method was applied for tentative α/β assignment in case of 16 and 17, which was then corroborated by the specific optical rotation of the substances.

A practical application of the epimerization observed during this work is described in a separate communication [10].

1. Experimental

General methods.—Unless stated otherwise, optical rotations were measured at 25 °C for solutions in CHCl₃ (c 1) with a Perkin-Elmer automatic polarimeter, Model 241 MC. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel-coated glass slides (Whatman), performed with solvents of appropriately adjusted polarity consisting of A, 1:1 hexane-EtOAc; B, 2:1 hexane-EtOAc; C, 10:1 toluene-EtOAc; D, hexane-EtOAc-Et₃N 200:100:1. The detection was effected by charring with 5% H₂SO₄ in EtOH and, when applicable, by UV light. Preparative chromatography was performed by gradient elution from columns of Silica Gel 60 (Fluka, particle size 0.035–0.070 mm) using, at the onset of development, a solvent mixture slightly less polar than that used for TLC. Assignments of NMR signals, obtained at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C at 25 °C, were made by first-order analysis of spectra and, when feasible, the assignments were supported by APT and/or DEPT experiments, homonuclear decoupling and/or homo- and heteronuclear 2-dimensional correlation spectroscopy. The commercial software supplied with the spectrometers (Varian Gemini or Varian XL 300) was used. Chemical ionization mass spectra (CIMS) were measured using ammonia as the reactive gas. Solutions in organic solvents were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated at 40 °C/2 kPa.

General procedure for acylations.—A mixture of a sugar (5.55 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (5.55 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (50 mL) was vigorously stirred at 60 °C (bath) until a clear solution was obtained (80–100 min). Toluene (5 mL) was added, the solution was concentrated, and the residue was kept at 50 $^{\circ}$ C/ ~ 0.3 kPa for 2.5 h, to complete dehydration. The NMR spectra (in CD₃OD) of 2 taken at this stage showed the following characteristics for the major component present: 'H NMR: δ 5.00 (bs, 1 H, H-1), 3.66 (m, 1 H, H-2), 3.42 (dd, 1 H, H-3, $J_{2,3}$ 3.4 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 9.2 Hz), 3.15 (t, 1 H, H-4, $J_{4,5}$ 9.1 Hz), 3.05 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{5.6}$ 6.1 Hz), 1.8–1.3 (m, 8 H, SnC H_2 , $SnCH_2CH_2$), 1.24 (m, 4 H, CH_2CH_3), 1.12 (d, 3 H, H-6), 0.80° 9 (m, 6 H, CH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR data of the major component: δ 95.90 (C-1, $J_{C,H}$ 161.8 Hz), 77.08 (C-2), 75.50 (C-3), 73.77 (C-4), 72.13 (C-5), 28.73, 28.18 and 28.06 (3 CH₂), 18.34 (C-6), 14.03 (CH₃). A mixture of anhydrous pyridine (8 mL) and the acylation reagent (1.6 equiv/OH group to be derivatized) was slowly added to the foregoing stannylene acetal, without external cooling during 5 min.

The mixture was shaken gently, to aid the solvation, and then stirred while the evolution of heat subsided. When TLC indicated that the reaction was complete (1–4 h), the mixture was processed in the usual manner, and the products were isolated by chromatography.

1,2,3,4,6-Penta-O-acetyl-β-D-mannopyranose (4) and 1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranose (5).

—(a) A mixture of D-mannose (3, 1 g, 5.55 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (1.38 g, 5.55 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (20 mL) was vigorously stirred under gentle reflux (~ 80 °C, bath) until a clear solution was obtained (~ 90 min). The mixture was processed as described in the General method for acylations, using Ac₂O as acylating reagent, and chromatography (solvent $B \rightarrow A$) gave first 5 (0.55 g, 25%): mp 134–135 °C (from EtOH), lit. 132 °C [16]; [α]_D +3.8°, lit. +3.9° (c 1.0, CHCl₃) [16].

Eluted next was **4** (1.4 g, 64.5%): mp 118–119 °C (from EtOH), lit. 117.5 °C [17]; $[\alpha]_D$ – 25.2°, lit. – 24.9° (c 1.0, CHCl₃) [17]; $J_{C-1,H-1}$ 161.3 Hz.

(b) D-Mannose (3, 1 g, 5.55 mmol) was treated as described in the General procedure for acylations, using Ac₂O as acylating reagent, and chromatography afforded 4 (1.84 g, 84.8%).

1,2,3,4-Tetra-O-acetyl-β-L-rhamnopyranose (9) and 6-deoxy-1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl-β-L-glucopyranose (10). —(a) L-Rhamnose monohydrate (1 g, 6.09 mmol) was treated as described above for the preparation of 4(a), and chromatography (solvent *B*) first gave 10 (0.37 g, 18%): mp 148–149 °C (from diisopropyl ether), lit. 148–149 °C [18]; [α]_D –21.4°, lit. –21.6° (c 1.1, CHCl₃) [18].

Eluted next was **9** (1.57 g, 77.3%): mp 98–99 °C (from isopropyl ether), lit. 98.5–99 °C [19]; $[\alpha]_D$ + 13.6°, lit. + 13.3° (c 3.8, CHCl₃) [19]; $J_{C-1,H-1}$ 163.2 Hz.

(b) When L-rhamnose monohydrate (1 g, 5.49 mmol) was treated as described for the preparation of **4**(b), chromatography (solvent *B*) afforded **9** (1.72 g, 94.5%).

1,2,3,4,6-Penta-O-benzoyl- β -D-mannopyranose (6) and 1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-benzoyl- β -D-glucopyranose (7). —(a) D-Mannose (0.5 g, 2.74 mmol) was treated with dibutyltin oxide (0.682 g, 2.74 mmol) as described for the preparation of 4(a), and the acetal formed was then treated with a mixture of BzCl-pyridine. When the benzoylation was complete (\sim 4 h), EtOH was added, to decompose the excess of reagent. The mixture was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and water, the organic phase was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (solvent C),

to give first **7** (0.3 g, 15.8%): mp 188–190 °C (from EtOH), lit. 189–192 °C [20]; [α]_D + 23.8°, lit. + 24.2 (c 2.6, CHCl₃) [20].

Eluted next was **6** (1.2 g, 66.6%): mp 160–161 °C (from EtOH), lit. 160–161 °C [20]; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\rm D} - 80.5^{\circ}$; lit. -81° (c 1.0, CHCl₃) [20]; $J_{\rm C-1.H-1}$ 163.8 Hz.

(b) D-Mannose (1 g, 5.55 mmol), when treated according to the general procedure, using BzCl as acylating reagent, afforded 6 (2.8 g, 72%).

1,2,3,4-Tetra-O-benzoyl- β -L-rhamnopyranose (11). —L-Rhamnose monohydrate (1.0 g, 5.49 mmol) was treated as described for the preparation of **6**(b). Chromatography gave **11** (2.85 g, 89.6%): $[\alpha]_D + 139.0^\circ$, lit. $+138.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃) [21]; $J_{C-1,H-1}$ 163.0 Hz. 1, 2, 3, 4 - Tetra - O - acetyl - β - D - lyxopyranose (13).—D-Lyxose (1.0 g, 6.66 mmol), when treated as described in the General procedure for acylations, using Ac₂O as acylating reagent, afforded 13 (1.79 g, 84.5%): $[\alpha]_D$ –111°, lit. –70.6° (c 0.82, CHCl₃) [11], -83.4° (c 2.48) [13]. H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.02 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 2.8 Hz, H-1), 5.39 (t, 1 H, J 3.3 Hz, H-2), 5.23 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 3.0, $J_{3,4}$ 6.5 Hz, H-3), 5.04 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{4,5a}$ 3.3, $J_{4,5b}$ 5.3 Hz, H-4), 4.20 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5a.5b}$ 12.4 Hz, H-5a), 3.61 (dd, 1 H, H-5b), 2.13, 2.11, 2.10, 2.09 (4 s, 3 H each, 4 COCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 89.56 (C-1, J_{CH} 169 Hz), 67.65

(C-3), 67.52 (C-4), 66.18 (C-2), 61.25 (C-6), 20.82, 20.79, 20.64, 20.57 (4 COCH₃); CIMS: *m/z* 336 ([M + 18]⁺): Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₉: C, 49.06; H, 5.70. Found: C, 49.17; H, 5.68.

1,2,3,4-Tetra-O-acetyl-6-O-trityl-β-D-talopyranose

(15), 1,2,3,5-tetra-O-acetyl-6-O-trityl- β -D-talofuranose (16), and 1, 2, 3, 5-tetra-O-acetyl-6-O-trityl- α -Dtalofuranose (17).—6-O-Trityl-D-talose [10] (14, 0.5) g, 1.18 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (0.294 g, 1.18 mmol) in anhydrous benzene (25 mL) was vigorously stirred at 60 °C (bath) for 3 h. The mixture was concentrated, the residue was dissolved in anhydrous toluene (20 mL) and concentrated, to complete dehydration. Pyridine (3 mL) and Ac₂O (0.67 mL, 7.1 mmol) was added and, after 2 hours, when TLC (solvent D) showed that the reaction was complete, the mixture was concentrated, and chromatography gave first 17 (0.09 g, 12.8%): mp 68-69° (from EtOH); $[\alpha]_D + 6.2^\circ$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.09 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.28 (bd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 4.9 Hz, H-2), 5.21–5.13 (m 2 H, H-3,5), 4.43 (dd, 1 H, J 5.5 and 7.1 Hz, H-4), 3.31 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6a}$ 6.28, $J_{6a.6b}$ 10.2 Hz, H-6a), 3.24 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.5 Hz, H-6b), 2.12, 2.09, 2.05, 1.93 (4 s, 3 H each, 4 COCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 97.75 (C-1, $J_{\text{C.H}}$ 184 Hz), 86.94 (*C*Ph), 79.65 (C-4), 74.07 (C-2), 71.72 (C-5), 70.41 (C-3), 62.44 (C-6); CIMS: m/z 608 ([M + 18]⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{34}O_{10}$: C, 67.1; H, 5.8. Found: C, 66.92; H, 5.82.

Eluted next was **15** (0.22 g, 40.1%): mp 168–169 °C (from EtOH); $[\alpha]_D - 30.0^\circ$ (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.80 (bd, 1 H, $J_{1,2} \sim 1.2$ Hz, H-1), 5.56 (bd, 1 H, $J_{3,4} \sim 3.5$ Hz, H-4), 5.37 (bd,1 H, $J_{2,3} \sim 3.5$ Hz, H-2), 5.18 (bt, 1 H, $J \sim 3.7$ Hz, H-3), 3.97 (bdd, 1 H, H-5), 3.45 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6}$ 5.3, $J_{6a,6b}$ 8.8 Hz, H-6a), 3.20 (t, J 8.8 Hz, H-6b), 2.12, 2.05, 2.01, 1.85 (4 s, 3 H each, 4 COCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 90.70 (C-1, $J_{C,H}$ 162 Hz), 86.93 (*C*Ph), 73.64 (C-5), 67.74 (C-3), 66.83 (C-2), 64.67 (C-4), 60.35 (C-6), 20.71, 20.62, 20.50, 20.47 (4 COCH₃); CIMS: m/z 608 ([M + 18]⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{34}O_{10}$: C, 67.1; H, 5.8. Found: C, 67.0; H, 5.83. Eluted last was **16** (0.09 g, 12.8%): mp 68–69 °C

Eluted last was **16** (0.09 g, 12.8%): mp 68–69 °C (from EtOH), $[\alpha]_D$ –67°; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.45–7.20 (m, 15 H, aromatics), 6.34 (m, 1 H, H-1), 5.25 (td, 1 H, $J_{4.5} \sim 3.3$ Hz, H-5), 5.20 (m, 2 H, H-2,3), 4.58 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3.4}$ 2.2 Hz, H-4), 3.31 (dd, 1 H. $J_{5.6a} \sim 6.1$ Hz, $J_{6a.6b} \sim 9.7$ Hz, H-6a), 3.25 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6b} \sim 5.7$ Hz, H-6b), 2.13, 2.1, 2.03 (3 s, 12 H, 4 COCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 93.79 (C-1, $J_{C.H}$ 181 Hz), 87.0 (*C*Ph), 81.87 (C-4), 71.26 (C-5), 69.92, 69.76 (C2,3), 61.67 (C-6), 21.0, 20.88, 20.56, 20.22 (4 CO*C*H₃); CIMS: m/z 608 ([M + 18]⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₄O₁₀: C, 67.1; H, 5.8. Found: C, 66.95; H, 5.82.

References

- [1] P.M. Collins and R.J. Ferrier, *Monosaccharides. Their Chemistry and Their Roles in Natural Products*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1995, pp 360–369.
- [2] P. Kováč, Carbohydr. Res., 153 (1986) 237–251.

- [3] K. Toshima and K. Tatsuta, *Chem. Rev.*, 93 (1993) 1503–1531.
- [4] M.L. Wolfrom and A. Thompson, Methods Carbohydr. Chem., 2 (1963) 211-215.
- [5] P. Kováč and K.J. Edgar, J. Org. Chem., 57 (1992) 2455–2467.
- [6] V. Pozsgay and A. Neszmélyi, Carbohydr. Res., 80 (1980) 196–202.
- [7] G. Hodosi and P. Kováč, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119 (1997) 2335–2336.
- [8] T.B. Grindley, in P. Kováč, ACS Symposium Series 560. Synthetic Oligosaccharides: Indispensable Probes for the Life Sciences, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1994 pp 51-76.
- [9] M. Pereyre, J.P. Quintard, and A. Rahn (Eds.), *Tin in Organic Synthesis*, Butterworths, London, 1987, pp 261–323.
- [10] G. Hodosi and P. Kováč, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, accepted for publication.
- [11] G.-A. Gross, M.F. Lahloub, C. Anklin, H.-R. Schulten, and O. Sticher, *Phytochemistry*, 27 (1988) 1459–1463.
- [12] S.J. Angyal, in W. Pigman and D. Horton (Eds.), *The Carbohydrates*, Vol. 1A, Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp 195–215.
- [13] H. Zinner and H. Brandner, Chem. Ber., 89 (1956) 1507–1515.
- [14] I. Tvaroška and F.R. Taravel, *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.*, 51 (1995) 15–61.
- [15] J.R. Snyder and A.S. Serianni, J. Org. Chem., 51 (1985) 2694–2702.
- [16] C.S. Hudson and J.K. Dale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 37 (1915) 1264–1282.
- [17] E. Fischer and R. Oetker, *Chem. Ber.*, 46 (1913) 4029–4040.
- [18] L.M. Lerner, J. Org. Chem., 37 (1972) 4386–4391.
- [19] E.L. Jackson and C.S. Hudson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 59 (1937) 1076–1078.
- [20] R.K. Ness, H.G. Fletcher, Jr., and C.S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72 (1950) 2200–2205.
- [21] R.K. Ness, H.G. Fletcher, Jr., and C.S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73 (1951) 296–300.